

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/675,286	Applicant(s) SRINIVASA ET AL.	
	Examiner Kenneth Tang	Art Unit 2195	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Tang.

(2) Crystal D. Sayles (Reg. No. 44,318).

Status of Application: Amendment

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: _____

Time: 2:30pm

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

10

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to amend claim 10 to overcome 35 USC 101 issues.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

MENG-AL T. AN
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 ART UNIT 2100


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)